SUPPLEMENTAL VIEWS OF MESSRS. DOMINICK, MURPHY, SAXBE, AND SMITH

The undersigned minority members of the subcommittee gave support to the study and report, consistent with the historic sponsorship and support of constructive action in education on a bipartisan basis.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE MINORITY

We are particularly gratified to note that some earlier misunderstandings between the minority and majority have been dispelled and that in drafting this report full and fair consideration was given to proposals advanced by the minority. As a result, some important major recommendations by Republican members were included in the report as finally approved. These include—

1. Recommendation No. 16 that there be established a National Indian Board of Indian Education with authority to set standards and criteria for Federal schools;

2. Recommendation No. 17 that Indian boards of education be established at the local level for Federal Indian school districts;

3. Recommendation No. 15 that the Commissioner of Indian Affairs be upgraded to Assistant Secretary and that the Bureau of Indian Affairs be upgraded accordingly;

4. Recommendation No. 6 for the presentation to Congress of a comprehensive Indian act to meet the special needs of Indian children both in Federal and public schools, and to replace the present structure of fragmented and inadequate education legislation;

5. Recommendation No. 12 for full funding for the National Council on Indian Opportunity;

6. Recommendation No. 52 that Johnson-O'Malley funding should not be conditioned by presence of tax-exempt land;

7. Recommendation No. 9 that the HEW Civil Rights Enforcement Office investigate discrimination against Indians in school receiving Federal funds;

8. Recommendation No. 18 that Indian parental and community involvement be increased;

9. Recommendation No. 20 that the Departments of Interior and Health, Education, and Welfare, together with the National Council on Indian Opportunity, devise a joint plan of action to develop a quality education program for Indian children;

10. Recommendation No. 25 that BIA boarding school guidance and counseling programs be substantially expanded and improved;

11. Recommendation No. 37 to strengthen title III (developing institutions) of the Higher Education Act to include recently created higher education institutions for Indians on or near reservations;

12. Recommendation No. 38 to expand the Education Professions Development Act, the Higher Education Act, and the Vocational Education Act to include BIA schools and programs;

(13) Recommendation No. 58 that State and local communities should encourage and facilitate increased Indian involvement in the development and operation of education programs for Indian children;

(14) Recommendation No. 59 to appoint Indians to U.S. Office of Education advisory groups; and

(15) Recommendation No. 60 that the BIA should have the same responsibility to the U.S. Office of Education for set-aside funds under Federal grant-in-aid education programs as the States for similar programs.

In addition, the minority was also responsible for minor and technical contributions to the report.

Finally, we take special pride in the key role in the creation of the subcommittee played by Senator Paul Fannin, of Arizona, the subcommittee's ranking minority member during the 90th Congress. As the late Senator Robert F. Kennedy stated at the opening hearing on December 14, 1967:

The stimulation for the establishment of this subcommittee came from my colleague, Senator Fannin, of the State of Arizona, who has a ways been interested in Indian education.

OPPOSITION TO RECOMMENDATION FOR SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

While endorsing the greater part of the report, we do take exception to the recommendation that there be established a Senate Select Committee on the Human Needs of the American Indian. A Senate select committee is not a legislative committee. It may only investigate and study and is not empowered to consider and report legislation. Thus, the recommended select committee would mean yet more additional studies of Indian problems. There is a surfeit of such studies.

The Indian Education Subcommittee, over a period of more than 2 years, has produced six volumes of hearings and a volume of appendix, five committee prints, 14 consultant reports, and a final report. This comes to a total of approximately one page of study for every 38 school-age Indian children, aged 5 to 18.

In addition, the subcommittee is recommending that other studies be undertaken—by the White House Conference on American Indian Affairs and by the National Indian Board of Indian Education. However, these studies possess a significantly different dimension, for they will be studies conducted by Indians about Indian problems, whereas the select committee would be just another in a series of governmental study efforts dominated by non-Indians. By utilizing studies by Indians about Indians instead of surveys by government bodies or by non-Indian academicians, we will be making the transition from reliance on Indian experts, as at present, to a reliance upon expert Indians. The latter course seems the wisest and in the best tradition of government by the consent of the governed.

A PLEDGE

For too many years study after study and report after report have been issued looking toward improvement of the lot of our Indian citizens which, while resplendent with promise, have come to naught.
We stress realization over promise, especially as concerns what is perhaps the most important recommendation contributed by the Republican membership of the subcommittee; namely, a means to achieve the guidance by Indians themselves of the education of their own children through national and local Indian boards of education.

To achieve these goals, we pledge to work for realization of the recommendations contained in this report so that the education of Indian children shall be, in accord with the precepts set forth by President Abraham Lincoln, of, by, and for the Indian people.
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