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MONDAY, AUGUST 12, 2019

5:00 – 7:00 pm
Early Registration

TUESDAY, AUGUST 13, 2019

7:00 am
Registration

8:00 am
Western Water Law and Indian Reserved Water Rights: A Primer
Norm Johnson, Natural Resources Division Director, Utah Attorney General’s Office

9:00 am
Introductory Remarks
John Echohawk, Executive Director, Native American Rights Fund
Tony Willardson, Executive Director, Western States Water Council

Invocation – Chris Devers, Pauma Band of Mission Indians

9:30 am
WELCOME
Jeanine Jones, WSWC Chair, California Department of Water Resources
Bo Mazzetti, President, San Luis Rey Indian Water Authority
Introducing representatives of the La Jolla, Rincon, San Pasqual, Pauma and Pala Bands of Mission Indians

10:00 am
KEYNOTE ADDRESS
Jeanne Whiteing, Water Rights Counsel, Blackfeet Nation

10:30 am
Break

10:45 am
NEGOTIATION OF INDIAN WATER RIGHTS CLAIMS: THE BASICS

Gathering Background Information and the Role of Technicians in Negotiations
MODERATOR – David Gover, Attorney, Native American Rights Fund
Gerald Lunak, Acting Director, Water Resources Department, Blackfeet Tribe
Doug Oellermann, Supervisory Civil Engineer, Bureau of Reclamation
Jay Weiner, Assistant Attorney General, Montana Department of Justice

12:30 pm
LUNCH (ON YOUR OWN)

2:00 pm
Identifying Parties and Issues and How Negotiations Bind Larger Groups
MODERATOR – Arianne Singer, General Counsel, Interstate Stream Commission
Sara Gibson, Legal Counsel, Oklahoma Water Resources Board
Joshua Mann, Policy Analyst, Department of the Interior
Stanley Pollack, Contract Attorney, Navajo Nation
3:30 pm Break

4:00 pm **WATER PLANNING: SCARCITY AND COLLABORATION**
MODERATOR – Michelle Bushman, Legal Counsel, Western States Water Council
Stephen Roe Lewis, Governor, Gila River Indian Community
Kenneth Slowinski, Chief Counsel, Arizona Department of Water Resources
Leslie Meyers, Phoenix Area Manager, Bureau of Reclamation
Kevin Moran, Senior Director, Western Water, Environmental Defense Fund

5:30 pm Adjourn

6:00 pm Reception

**WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 14, 2019**

7:30 am Registration

*Invocation by Invitation*

8:00 am **WELCOME**
Christina Snider, Tribal Advisor, Governor Newsom’s Office

8:15 am **PLENARY SPEAKER**
Mike Connor, Partner, WilmerHale

9:00 am **THE ADMINISTRATION’S SETTLEMENT POLICY**
Presentation
 Alan Mikkelsen, Senior Advisor to the Secretary of the Interior for Water and Western Resource Issues, Department of the Interior
Pam Williams, Director, Secretary’s Indian Water Rights Office, Department of the Interior

10:30 am **Administration Policy Q and A**

10:45 am Break

11:00 am **Response Panel**
MODERATOR – Steve Moore, Attorney, Native American Rights Fund
Arianne Singer, General Counsel, Interstate Stream Commission
Neil Peyron, Chairman, Tule River Tribal Council
Vanessa Ray-Hodge, Partner, Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse, Mielke & Brownell
Norm Johnson, Natural Resources Division Director, Utah Attorney General’s Office

12:00 pm **LUNCH BUFFET**

12:30 pm **FILM: SAN LUIS REY INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT – “ONCE THERE WAS A RIVER”**
Bo Mazzetti, President, San Luis Rey Indian Water Authority
Anecita Agustinez, Tribal Policy Advisor, Department of Water Resources
DESCRIPTION OF THE SAN LUIS REY INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT
MODERATOR – Susan Cottingham, Consultant, Western States Water Council
Robert Pelcyger, Counsel, San Luis Rey Indian Water Authority
John Carter, Counsel, Vista Irrigation District
Chris McKinney, Director of Utilities, City of Escondido
Joe Vanderhorst, Assist. General Counsel, Metropolitan Water District of So. California
Christopher Watson, Attorney, Division of Water Resources, Office of the Solicitor

FIELD TRIP

DINNER

THURSDAY, AUGUST 15, 2019

Invocation by Invitation

8:00 am SETTLEMENT IMPLEMENTATION: IMPACTS OF POLICY CHANGES
Shalee Russette, Project Manager, Chippewa Cree Construction Corp
Deon Stockert, Client Program Leader, Advanced Engineering and Environmental Services

9:00 am SETTLEMENT LEGISLATION: GETTING BILLS THROUGH CONGRESS
Congressional Outlook for Indian Water Rights Settlements
Brandon Ashley, Majority Deputy Staff Director, Senate Committee on Indian Affairs
Josh Mahan, Minority Counsel, Senate Committee on Indian Affairs
Matthew Muirragui, Majority Staff Director, Subcommittee on Water, Oceans and Wildlife, House Natural Resources Committee

10:45 am Break

11:00 am Response Panel
MODERATOR – Jay Weiner, Assistant Attorney General, Montana Department of Justice
Tracy Goodluck, Deputy Director, Secretary’s Indian Water Rights Office, Department of the Interior
Stanley Pollack, Contract Attorney, Navajo Nation
Kenneth Slowinski, Chief Counsel, Arizona Department of Water Resources

12:15 pm WRAP-UP/SUMMARY
Tony Willardson, Executive Director, Western States Water Counsel

12:45 pm Adjourn
SAN LUIS REY INDIAN WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT

Background

The La Jolla, Rincon, San Pasqual, Pauma and Pala Indian Reservations (reservations) were established along and in the vicinity of the San Luis Rey River in northern San Diego County pursuant to the Mission Indian Relief Act, 26 Stat. 712 (1891) (MIRA). MIRA was enacted 17 years before the Supreme Court’s decision in Winters v. United States, 207 U.S. 564 (1908). The five reservations were established shortly after MIRA took effect.

One of the MIRA’s express purposes was to protect the water available for the use and benefit of the Mission Indians in Southern California from being diverted away from their reservations. MIRA’s legislative history is replete with descriptions of Mission Indian communities being driven from the land they were cultivating by settlers who flocked to California following the 1848 Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo and the ensuing Gold Rush. MIRA section 8 imposed measures intended to insure the continued availability of water for use on their reservations.

The ink was hardly dry on the documents establishing the reservations when the Interior Department approved the first of several rights-of-way and other authorities for diverting water from the San Luis Rey River and its watershed through and away from the reservations. During the ensuing 60 years, numerous acts and omissions of the Interior Department and the Federal Power Commission, which later became the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), resulted in approximately 90% of the divertible flow of the San Luis Rey River being conveyed out of the watershed to the communities of Escondido and Vista.

The effect of these acts and omissions was that the federal government had given away the same water twice, first to the La Jolla, Rincon, San Pasqual, Pauma and Pala Bands of Mission Indians (Bands) when their reservations were established and, shortly thereafter, when the government authorized the diversion of that water away from the reservations and out of the watershed to the communities of Escondido and Vista.

Commencing in 1969, the five Bands and the United States initiated litigation before FERC and the federal district court in San Diego to determine who was entitled to use the waters of the San Luis Rey River. Following 15 years of litigation, the Supreme Court issued a split decision in 1984. The practical result of the Supreme Court’s decision was that the parties would have to go back to court and start all over again with no end in sight. At that point, the parties, including the United States, concluded that a negotiated, congressionally approved settlement provided the best opportunity for a reasonable and timely settlement.

The Settlement Act

In 1989, with strong Administration and bipartisan support, Congress enacted the San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act, 102 Stat. 4000 (Settlement Act). Congress found that the San Luis Rey River was “inadequate to supply the needs” of the five reservations, Escondido and Vista and the Bands had reached an agreement in principle under which “a comprehensive settlement would achieved,” the “Bands’ claims would be fairly and justly resolved,” “the Federal Government’s trust responsibility to the Bands would be fulfilled,” Escondido, Vista and the Bands “would make fair and reasonable contributions,” and that “the United States should contribute to the settlement by providing and delivery of water from a supplemental source.”
The Settlement Act authorized and directed the Secretary of the Interior to arrange for the development of 16,000 acre-feet of supplemental water from an unspecified source for the use and benefit of the five Bands, Escondido and Vista. Congress also authorized the appropriation of $30 million for use by the San Luis Rey Indian Water Authority and for economic development of the reservations. The money was appropriated and deposited in an interest bearing Treasury account in 1990.

**Implementation of the Settlement**

1. **Development of the Supplemental Water**

The first settlement task was to arrange for the development and delivery of the 16,000 acre-feet of supplemental water. That was not easy. In 2000, eleven years after enacting the Settlement Act, Congress amended it by directing the Secretary of the Interior to fulfill his trust responsibility to the Bands by permanently furnishing 16,000 acre-feet of the water conserved by the project to line the unlined portions of the All American Canal and its Coachella Branch. It took another 3 years, until 2003, to negotiate and sign agreements providing for the lining of the canals and for delivering the 16,000 acre-feet to northern San Diego County. The first supplemental water became available in 2006.

2. **Negotiating the Settlement Agreements**

Section 106(c) of the 1988 Settlement Act states that the supplemental water “shall be provided for the Bands on their reservations[s] and [Escondido and Vista] in their service areas pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement....” Nevertheless, for the first time in 2004, the United States maintained that the Bands should be restricted to using supplemental water and should relinquish their reserved rights to San Luis Rey water. That made no sense for many reasons, including that four of the five Bands were entirely dependent on their reserved rights to local San Luis Rey water for domestic, agricultural and business uses on their reservations, that very expensive and unnecessary new infrastructure would be required to deliver supplemental water to the reservations, and that supplemental water could readily be delivered to Escondido and Vista through existing pipelines. Resolving that dispute with the government took another twelve years and eventually required a compromise carefully crafted by Deputy Interior Secretary Mike Connor and its enactment as an amendment to the 1988 Settlement Act. The amendment was included as Section 3605 of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WINN) Act enacted in December of 2016.

The 2016 WINN amendment approved and ratified settlement agreements under which the Bands, Escondido and Vista agreed to share and use the local San Luis Rey water and the imported supplemental water. The amendment recognized that “the Bands, had, have and continue to possess federal reserved rights and other [San Luis Rey] water rights held in trust by the United States.” It also states that the United States in its capacity as trustee for those rights “shall not be a required party [in any judicial proceeding involving those rights] and that any decision by the United States regarding participation in any such proceeding shall not be subject to judicial review or give rise to any claim for relief against the United States.”

The practical effect of the 2016 WINN amendment is that it exempts the United States in its capacity as trustee from involuntary participation in a hypothetical future McCarran Amendment general stream adjudication of the rights to the waters in the San Luis Rey watershed. The Bands reluctantly agreed to this unique provision for four reasons: (i) the United States insisted on including it; (ii) the Bands believed that a general stream adjudication of San Luis Rey water rights is extremely unlikely; (iii) the Bands also believed that they would be fully capable of protecting and defending their reserved and other rights in the
very unlikely event that there would be such a future general stream adjudication; and (iv) the Bands were sick, tired and fed up with trying to persuade their extremely reluctant trustee to fulfill the federal government’s fiduciary obligations.

**Final Approval of the Settlement**

Pursuant to Section 104 of the Settlement Act, the Settlement Agreement and other settlement documents were approved by the federal district court and FERC in 2017. The settlement finally took effect on May 17, 2017, 48 years after the Federal District Court and FERC matters were initiated. The United States, the La Jolla, Rincon and San Pasqual Bands and the Federal District Court approved rights-of-way for the Escondido Canal and other facilities located on tribal and BLM land. FERC approved the continued operation of the Bear Valley Power Plant by Escondido and Vista as a conduit exemption under the Federal Power Act and relinquished jurisdiction over its previously licensed project. The Federal District Court retained continuing jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the provisions of the settlement that involve the United States.

**Major Settlement Features**

1. When the settlement became effective, the Indian Water Authority received approximately $100 million for its future operations and economic development of the five reservations from the funds previously appropriated by Congress and from the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California for the supplemental water it received before the settlement took effect in 2017.

2. The five Bands, Escondido and Vista have rights to share and use both San Luis Rey water and the supplemental water imported from the Colorado River on the Bands’ reservations and Escondido’s and Vista’s service areas pursuant to the terms of the settlement.

3. Escondido and Vista continue to be responsible for operating, maintaining, repairing and replacing the facilities of the local water system.

4. Through a one-for-one exchange and/or cash, the Bands compensate Escondido and Vista for additional San Luis Rey water provided for use on their reservations.

5. The Bands pay the costs associated with conveying the 16,000 acre-feet of supplemental water from the Colorado River to northern San Diego County.

6. Escondido and Vista pay the Bands for the supplemental water delivered to them what they would otherwise pay the San Diego County Water Authority. For the 16,000 acre-feet of supplemental water delivered to Escondido and Vista in 2018, the Indian Water Authority received approximately $15 million of net income. The amount of those payments is expected to increase as the price of imported water in Southern California continues to rise.

7. The Indian Water Authority makes periodic distributions of money to the Bands for economic development.
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FIELD TRIP AND DINNER

August 14, 2019

Agenda

3:15, 3:45, 4:15 pm – Load Buses (Casino East Entrance)

3:40, 4:10, 4:40 pm – Arrive at Stop #1: Lake Henshaw

Lake Henshaw is a Reservoir in San Diego County, California at the southeast base of Palomar Mountain, approximately 70 miles northeast of San Diego and 100 miles southeast of Los Angeles. The lake covers approximately 1,140 acres and holds 55,000 acre feet of water when full (lowered in 1978 from its original capacity of 203,581 acre feet out of earthquake concerns), in addition to groundwater stored in its local basin. It drains an area of 207 square miles at the source of the San Luis Rey River.

4:10, 4:40, 5:10 pm – Load Buses

4:40, 5:10, 5:30 pm – Arrive at Stop #2: La Jolla Indian Campground

The La Jolla Indian Campground is located on the south facing foothills of Palomar Mountain. The San Luis Rey River runs through the campground, which is also fed by natural springs.

5:00 pm – Dinner

6:00 pm – Performance by the Yawáywish Girls and the Tukwut Boys

7:00 pm and 7:45 pm – Buses Will Return to Harrah’s Resort
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Ad Hoc Group on Indian Water Rights Settlements

Who We Are

Since 1981, the Western States Water Council (WSWC) and the Native American Rights Fund (NARF), working together with tribal representatives and other public and private experts, have participated in an Ad Hoc Group on Indian Water Rights Settlements.

What We Do

We work to support the negotiated settlement of Indian water rights disputes. We believe there is a need to quantify Indian water rights, and that negotiated settlements are preferable to litigation as a means of quantifying those rights. We also believe that such settlements should be encouraged and facilitated by the federal government, both in terms of assisting in the negotiations as well as in providing appropriate funding for the implementation of settlements.

Over the years, a process has evolved with the help of the Ad Hoc Group that has contributed to the approval of 32 Indian water rights settlements. The WSWC and NARF also hold a biennial Symposium on the Settlement of Indian Reserved Water Rights Claims for experts to exchange information and advice regarding Indian water right settlements. The last symposium took place in August 2017 in Great Falls, Montana.

Federal Trust Obligation

The federal obligation to fund settlements is analogous to, and no less serious than, the United States’ obligation to pay judgments rendered against it. However, current budgetary pressures and legislative policies make it difficult for the Administration, the states, and the tribes to negotiate settlements knowing that they may not be funded.

We support federal funding, including a permanent funding source, to help ensure that settlements authorized by Congress and signed by the President will be implemented. We also believe that Congress should provide a budgetary mechanism to ensure that authorized settlements are funded without corresponding offsets to some other tribal or essential Interior Department program.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Negotiation</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abousleman</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Pueblos of Jemez, Pueblo of Santa Ana, Pueblo of Zia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agua Caliente</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coeur d'Alene</td>
<td>ID</td>
<td>Coeur d'Alene Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSKT</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Confederated Salish &amp; Kootenai Tribes of the Flathead Reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallbrook</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Cahuilla Band of Mission Indians and Ramona Band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Belknap</td>
<td>MT</td>
<td>Gros Ventre and Assiniboine Tribes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerr McGee</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Pueblos of Acoma and Laguna and Navajo Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kickapoo</td>
<td>KS</td>
<td>Kickapoo Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hualapai</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Hualapai Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Havasupai</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Havasupai Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lummi</td>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Lummi Tribe and Nooksack Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo-Little Colorado</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Navajo Nation, Hopi Tribe, San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo-Utah</td>
<td>UT</td>
<td>Navajo Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tohono O'dham</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Tohono O'dham Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonto Apache</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Tonto Apache Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tule River</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Tule River Indian Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Gila River/San Carlos</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>San Carlos Apache Tribe and the Gila River Indian Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umatilla</td>
<td>OR</td>
<td>Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walker River</td>
<td>NV</td>
<td>Walker River Paiute Indian Tribe, Bridgeport Indian Colony, Yerington Paiute Tribe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yavapai Apache</td>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>Yavapai-Apache Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni/Ramah Navajo</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Pueblo of Zuni, and Ramah Navajo Nation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Teams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aamodt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animas La Plata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackfeet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chickasaw-Chocatw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fallon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort Hall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fort McDowell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila River</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo-San Juan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nez Perce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pechanga</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyramid Lake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Boys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Carlos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Luis Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAWRSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shivwits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uintah &amp; Ouray Utes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White Mountain Apache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuni Heaven</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Teams</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohkay Owingeh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osage Nation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME / CITATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Ak-Chin Indian Water Rights Settlement Act | Ak-Chin Indian Community of Papago | • First Indian water settlement;  
• Federal government and Indian Community were only parties to original settlement;  
• No local cost share provision required;  
• Unrestricted water marketing and use under 1992 Amend. Allows off-reservation leasing in certain nearby counties;  
• Surface water imported from foreign source to satisfy entitlement;  
• Federal government agreed to deadline for implementation;  
• Federal government assumed total liability for cost of failure to deliver;  
• $5,000 afa  
Legislation in 2000 gave the tribe authority to enter into either options to renew a lease or renewals of a lease for no more than the original term of a lease up to 100 years long, whereas it earlier denied any post-100 year option. The amendment also provides that the tribe may not permanently alienate the water at issue. | • Federal:  
- Total of $29.2M to Indian Community (not including $15M in damages) (emphasis added);  
- $3.4M to Indian Community for economic development.  
- $25.3M as loan forgiveness;  
- Total of $27.2M to irrigation district;  
- $9.4M for construction & conservation; $17.8M as loan forgiveness |
| Fallon Paiute Shoshone Indian Tribes Water Rights Settlement Act of 1990 | Paiute-Shoshone Tribe of the Fallon Reservation and Colony | • Original intent to settle tribal claims for Federally promised irrigation system;  
• Developed into claims for reserved rights;  
• Secretary to identify water sources subsequent to settlement;  
• Environmental dilemmas in two river basins required complex and interconnected settlements with two tribes;  
• Development Fund established to improve irrigation system and enhance economic development on the Reservation;  
• Federally approved Tribal management plan required for administration;  
• Interstate Allocation Agreement required for reservoir operations;  
• Limited marketing subject to State law;  
• See also, Truckee-Carson Pyramid Lake Water Rights Settlement Act;  
• 10,588 afa  
The amendment also provides that the tribe may not permanently alienate the water at issue. | • Federal:  
- $43M for Fallon Paiute Shoshone Tribal Development Fund (i.e., $3M in 1992, and $8M each year thereafter until 1997) |
| Fort Hall Indian Water Rights Act of 1990 | Shoshone-Bannock Tribes of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation | • Heavy reliance on unallocated Federal storage space required to satisfy Tribes’ Winters entitlement and to mitigate impacts to local water users within a highly developed system;  
• Water bank authorized which will allow the Tribes to lease their water rights to local water users off-Reservation;  
• Tribes allowed to lease all or part of water entitlement on the Reservation;  
• Tribal Development established in addition to Federal funds provided to develop a reservation water management system;  
• Instream flow protection allowed (whereas instream flow protection a contentious issue in the Wind River–Big Horn litigation);  
• Flexible use of Tribes’ water on reservation permits traditional uses including agriculture, fish, and wildlife, and environment;  
• Three-member Intergovernmental Board established to mediate or resolve disputes;  
• 581,031 afa  
<p>|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treaty Name</th>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Water Rights</th>
<th>Settlement</th>
<th>Financial Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fort McDowell Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Act of 1990</td>
<td>Fort McDowell Indian Community</td>
<td>ARIZONA</td>
<td>Complex multi-party water purchases, exchanges, and storage arrangements;</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>- $21.5M for Community Development Fund;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Much controversy over water supply and sources;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Land and water purchases from unidentified sources including 13,933 afa of CAP water purchased from RVID (appropriations unknown);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Secretary allowed to identify and acquire water sources subsequent to Settlement;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Environmental studies associated with land and water purchases (appropriations unknown);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Indian Community to receive indigenous water supplies from the Verde River;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- 25 year contract with SRP to store Kent Decree water rights (appropriations unknown; Community able to use some of its Kent Decree water depending on availability and canal conditions);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Off-reservation leasing of CAP water limited to 99 year lease with City of Phoenix Community Development Fund established to enhance economic development;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- $11M loan to Indian Community (not considered a Federal contribution) (emphasis added);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal loan provided to Indian Community to construct delivery system;</td>
<td></td>
<td>• State/Local - $2M for Community Development Fund;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental preservation and studies required prior to most water acquisitions;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- $5M up-front payment for 99 year lease to city of Phoenix (not considered a contribution) (emphasis added)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Instream flow protection to protect endangered species and river habitat;</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Tribe - $13M in Federal loan monies to construct delivery systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36,350 afa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal - $23M for Community Development Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Land and water purchases from unidentified sources including 13,933 afa of CAP water purchased from RVID (appropriations unknown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Environmental studies associated with land and water purchases (appropriations unknown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25 year contract with SRP to store Kent Decree water rights (appropriations unknown; Community able to use some of its Kent Decree water depending on availability and canal conditions)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11M loan to Indian Community (not considered a Federal contribution) (emphasis added)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State/Local - $2M for Community Development Fund</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$5M up-front payment for 99 year lease to city of Phoenix (not considered a contribution) (emphasis added)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tribe - $13M in Federal loan monies to construct delivery systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36,350 afa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jicarilla Apache Tribe Water Settlement Act of 1992</td>
<td>Jicarilla Apache Indian Tribe</td>
<td>NEW MEXICO</td>
<td>Subcontracting or marketing allowed on or off reservation;</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>- $6M to Trust Fund;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lease or subcontract terms limited to 99 years;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- estimated $1,056,250 in non-reimbursable construction costs;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Subcontracts subject to state law;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- waiver of OM&amp;R costs (amount unknown)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Significant Secretary approval process prior to subcontracting;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tribal water right can not be forfeited or relinquished for nonuse;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Much discussion of the “Law of the River” and prohibiting interstate marketing;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Significant environmental compliance and conservation measures required;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40,000 afa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal - $6M to Trust Fund;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>estimated $1,056,250 in non-reimbursable construction costs;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>waiver of OM&amp;R costs (amount unknown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cheyenne Indian Reserved Water Rights Settlement Act of 1992</td>
<td>Northern Cheyenne Indian Tribe</td>
<td>MONTANA</td>
<td>Tongue River Dam repair and enlargement major part of settlement;</td>
<td>Federal</td>
<td>- $21.5M to the Cheyenne Indian Reserved Water Right Trust Fund;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Much discussion over administration and jurisdiction over tribal water right and Tongue River Dam Project;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Three member Board set up to resolve disputes;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- $31.5M for use in the repair and enlargement of the TRDP;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tribe allowed to administer water right after adopting Tribal Water Code;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Environmental compliance (estimated at $2M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water marketing and transfers allowed on and off the reservation;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tribe’s proportionate share of OM&amp;R costs for water stored behind the Tongue River Dam (estimated at $3,000 annually until 1997 and $28,000 annually thereafter); - $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Most off-reservation marketing subject to State law;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tribe’s proportionate share of OM&amp;R costs for water stored behind the Tongue River Dam (estimated at $3,000 annually until 1997 and $28,000 annually thereafter); - $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tribal water right may be used on the reservation for any purpose and without regard to State law;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tribe’s proportionate share of OM&amp;R costs for water stored behind the Tongue River Dam (estimated at $3,000 annually until 1997 and $28,000 annually thereafter); - $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ten-year marketing moratorium with Crow Tribe for water stored in the Big Horn Reservoir;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tribe’s proportionate share of OM&amp;R costs for water stored behind the Tongue River Dam (estimated at $3,000 annually until 1997 and $28,000 annually thereafter); - $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trust Fund unrestricted except for per capita payments;</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tribe’s proportionate share of OM&amp;R costs for water stored behind the Tongue River Dam (estimated at $3,000 annually until 1997 and $28,000 annually thereafter); - $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91,330 afa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Federal - $21.5M to the Cheyenne Indian Reserved Water Right Trust Fund;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Environmental compliance (estimated at $2M);</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Tribe’s proportionate share of OM&amp;R costs for water stored behind the Tongue River Dam (estimated at $3,000 annually until 1997 and $28,000 annually thereafter); - $3.5M for fish and wildlife enhancement on the TRDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>State - Repayment of the $11.5M loan to the Tribe;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- $5M to TRDP for contract costs; - $4.2M to the TRDP in non-contract costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tribe - OM&amp;R costs and capital costs associated with water used or sold for M&amp;I purposes from Big Horn Reservoir (amt. unknown)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legislation References:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988</strong></th>
<th><strong>San Carlos Apache Tribe Water Rights Settlement Act</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ARIZONA</strong></td>
<td><strong>ARIZONA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Complex and creative multi-party water exchanges, lease-backs, and storage arrangements (including effluent exchange) between two Indian Communities, seven Phoenix area cities, and three irrigation districts; &lt;br&gt; - Indian Community arranged to receive indigenous water supplies from the Salt River, Verde River, and groundwater beneath the Reservation (e.g., very small amount of imported water used to satisfy entitlement); &lt;br&gt; - Significant, “equitable” local cost sharing required by Federal government; &lt;br&gt; - Marketing of water prohibited except for lease-exchange agreement with Phoenix (water uses unrestricted on reservation); &lt;br&gt; - Very large Community Trust Fund established to develop and maintain facilities and enhance economic development; &lt;br&gt; - Provision to resolve allottee water claims; &lt;br&gt; - 122,400 afa</td>
<td>- Directs the Secretary of the Interior to reallocate an additional specified amount of water from the Central Arizona Project for the San Carlos Apache Tribe; &lt;br&gt; - Provides for the diversion of 7,500 afy from the Black River; &lt;br&gt; - Requires the Tribe or its lessee to pay any water service capital charges or municipal and industrial subcontract charges for any water use or lease from the effective date of the Act through FY 1995; &lt;br&gt; - Directs the Secretary to designate for the benefit of the Tribe such active conservation capacity behind Coolidge Dam on the Gila River as the Secretary is not using to meet the obligations of the San Carlos Irrigation Project (SCIP) for irrigation storage. Limits any water stored by the Tribe to the dam’s first spill water; &lt;br&gt; - Establishes the San Carlos Apache Tribe Development Trust Fund within the Treasury to contain the funds appropriated for it, the funds provided by Arizona under the agreement, and the funds received from the tribal water leases authorized by this Act; &lt;br&gt; - Directs the Secretary to carry out all necessary environmental compliance during the implementation phase of this settlement. Authorizes appropriations; &lt;br&gt; - Directs the Secretary to establish a groundwater management plan for the San Carlos Apache Reservation; &lt;br&gt; - Declares that concessions for recreation and fish and wildlife purposes on San Carlos Lake may be granted only by the Tribe’s governing body; &lt;br&gt; - A 1997 amendment settled a right-of-way dispute with Phelps Dodge Corporation and provided for a lease and exchange of 14,000 afa of Central Arizona Project water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Federal &lt;br&gt; - Total of $47,470,000 to the Salt-River Community Trust Fund; &lt;br&gt; - $10M for CAP facility construction (not considered a contribution since entirely allocable to P.L. 90-537, the underlying CAP authorization) &lt;br&gt; - State/Local &lt;br&gt; - $55,933,000 from local water users for contributing 32,000 afa of water (utilizing a value of around $1,800 per afa); &lt;br&gt; - $68M from local cities put in escrow to acquire 22,000 afa of Colorado River water; &lt;br&gt; - $3M from the State of Arizona to community Trust Fund; &lt;br&gt; - $16M in exchange for allocated CAP water (not considered a contribution since it is compensation for a 99 year lease agreement) &lt;br&gt; - Tribe &lt;br&gt; - $2M to Community Trust Fund</td>
<td>- Federal &lt;br&gt; - $38.4M for Development Fund (94%); &lt;br&gt; - Land and water purchases from Planet Ranch located on Bill Williams River in Arizona (appropriations unknown); &lt;br&gt; - Environmental studies, compliance, and mitigation costs to BR associated with land and water allocations or purchases (appropriations unknown); &lt;br&gt; - Construction, operation, maintenance and replacement costs for CAP water facilities (appropriations unknown) &lt;br&gt; - State/Local &lt;br&gt; - $3M for Development Fund (6%); &lt;br&gt; - Purchase of around 58,735 afa of surface water (amount unknown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| San Luis Rey Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988 | La Jolla, Ricon, San Pasquale, Pauma, Pala Bands of Mission Indians | • Problems with water source identification (e.g., originally proposed water from Central Valley Project amended to require “supplemental” water from lining the All American Canal);  
• Conservation measures required to fulfill Bands’ water entitlement by lining the All American Canal in order to reduce seepage;  
• Existing water canals and systems used to deliver “supplemental” water;  
• No new facility construction required to be financed by the Federal government;  
• “Equitable allocation” of local water supply required reallocation of San Luis Rey River system evenly between Bands and non-Indian users;  
• $30M Development Fund established;  
• Indian Water Authority established as inter-tribal entity to market water and administer Development Fund;  
• 16,000 afa;  
• 2016 amendment ratifies and incorporates two additional settlements resolving disputes between the Bands, the San Luis Rey River Indian Water Authority, City of Escondido, Vista Irrigation District, and California, consistent with and conforming to the requirements of the Act. | • Federal  
- $30M for Development Fund, up to $3.7M allocated per year;  
- Lining of All American Canal (appropriations unknown);  
- Use of existing delivery systems (amount unknown);  
- Groundwater recharge program (amount unknown)  
• State/Local  
- Purchase of water that is surplus to the Bands’ needs on the reservations (amount unknown);  
- Use of existing local water delivery systems to convey Bands’ share of local water to the reservations (amt. unknown);  
- O&M and replacement of existing delivery systems for San Luis Rey water (amount unknown);  
- Costs associated with Warner Well Field (estimated to range from $1.5 to $3.18M)  
• Bands  
- O&M costs associated with delivery of supplemental water through existing facilities;  
- Costs associated with Warner Well Field (estimated at over $2M annually) |
| Seminole Indian Land Claims Settlement Act of 1987 | Seminole Tribe of Florida | • First Indian water settlement in the Eastern United States;  
• No prior water rights litigation preceding settlement, but the settlement did resolve litigation and permit challenges on non-water related issues;  
• No Federal funding required;  
• Compact compromises between the Winters doctrine and riparian doctrine;  
• Compact gives Tribe absolute preference to ground water;  
• Tribal water right perpetual in nature and not subject to State renewal;  
• Compact allows Tribe to issue permits and administer its water rights;  
• Compact allows Tribe significant participation in water and land related decisions;  
• Compact gives Tribe jurisdiction to manage its water resources;  
• Compact given force of Federal law for purposes of enforcing the tribe’s rights and obligations in Federal District Court | • None |
| **Southern Arizona Water Rights Settlement Act**  
Pub.L. 101-618, 104 Stat. 3294 (1990),  
ARIZONA | **Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of the Pyramid Lake Reservation**  
NEVADA (CALIFORNIA) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *Water provided from CAP allocation and reclaimed effluent water from Tucson;*  
*Nation guaranteed a “firm” delivery of water even in dry seasons;*  
*Federal government assumed liability for failure to deliver water and replacement costs;*  
*Construction costs of Federal facilities required to deliver entitlement is entirely allocable to Pub.L. 90-537, (the underlying CAP authorization), not SAWRSA;*  
*Limited off-reservation leasing in Tucson AMA;*  
*Two independent trust funds established, a Tribal and Cooperative Fund;*  
*Settlement and implementation delayed due to dispute over ownership and allocation of water between allottees and Nation;*  
*66,000 afa* | *Environmental dilemma and Endangered Species Act were major issues driving the settlement;*  
*Key provision involving reservoir operation and administration requires Interstate Allocation Agreement;*  
*Some unidentified water sources to be acquired subsequent to settlement;*  
*Economic Development Fund established for economic development on the Reservation;*  
*Fisheries Fund established to enhance, restore, and conserve Pyramid Lake fish;*  
*Limited water marketing is subject to State law;*  
*Municipalities to install water meters for conservation purposes;*  
*Environmental dilemmas in two river basins required complex and interconnected settlements with two tribes – See also, Fallon Paiute-Shoshone Settlement Act;*  
*520,000 afa* | |  |  |  |
| **Federal**  
- Estimated $1M to establish water management plan and conduct certain studies;  
- $5.25M to “Cooperative Fund;”  
- $15M to Nation’s Trust Fund;  
- Up to $3.5M, if needed, to cover fluctuations in construction costs for “on-reservation” improvements only (amount unknown);  
- Up to $3.5M in annual contingent liability for replacement water for damages for failure to deliver entitlement (to be paid from interest of “Cooperative Fund”);  
- Estimate $65M for construction of Phase B of Tucson Aqueduct, estimated $50M to acquire reclaimed effluent water and increase capacity of the Tucson Aqueduct to deliver such water; estimated $15M to improve on-reservation irrigation systems; unknown amount for O&Ms; (above amounts not included since costs entirely allocable to P.L. 90-537, the underlying CAP authorization)  
- State/Local  
- $2.75M from the State of Arizona, $1.5M from the City of Tucson, and $1M from local non-Indian users to “Cooperative Fund”;  
- Forgone profits to City of Tucson from contributing 28,200 afa of reclaimed effluent water at cost to Federal government (amount unknown)  
- Nation  
- estimated $1M for construction of site specific on-reservation farm ditches, subjugation of land, and O&M cost (to be paid from interest of trust fund) | **Federal**  
- $25M for Pyramid Lake Paiute Fisheries Fund;  
- $40M to the Pyramid Lake Paiute Economic Development Fund (in five equal annual installments from 1993 to 1997);  
- Land and water purchases from unidentified sources (appropriations unknown);  
- Environmental studies associated with land and water purchases (appropriations unknown)  
- $3M in FY2010 for Reclamation implementation of P.L. 101-618 (equal grants to NV, CA, Truckee Meadows Water Authority, Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe, and Federal Watermaster of the Truckee River)  
- State/Local  
- Local conservation acquisitions (contribution unknown) |
| Ute Indian Rights Settlement Act of 1992 | Northern Ute Indian Tribe of the Uintah & Ouray Reservation | UTAH | • Primary purpose of settlement was to resolve claims against the Federal government for breach of Deferral Agreement where United States failed to construct ultimate phase projects of the CUP and Tribe deferred use and development of tribal land and water;  
• One of two settlements fully federally funded (See also, Ak-Chin Settlement);  
• Limited local cost share provisions commencing in the year 2042 for use or purchase of 35,500 afa of tribal water;  
• Monies appropriated to enhance Tribal fish, wildlife and environment in lieu of constructing promised ultimate phase water projects;  
• Off-reservation leasing provision strips tribes’ water of its reserved character and exposes tribal water to State law;  
• “Neutral” marketing provisions may allow tribe to sell water in the future depending on “Law of the River”;
• Largest Development Fund established to enhance economic development and compensate for breach of Federal agreement;  
• Ute Water Compact has not yet been approved by either the Tribe or State;  
• 481,000 afa | • Federal  
- Total appropriations: $198,500,000 (represents damages for breach of Deferral Agreement);  
- $45M for Tribal farming operation;  
- $5M for Cederview Reservoir repair;  
- $10M for stream improvements;  
- $500,000 for Bottle Hollow Reservoir clean up;  
- $10M for recreational enhancement;  
- $3M for municipal water system;  
- $125M for Tribal Development Fund;  
- estimated $2M per year for 50 years ($100M) in Bonneville revenues (represents future damages for use of 35,500 afa of tribal water);  
• State/Local  
- 7 percent of the then fair market value of 35,500 afa of Bonneville agricultural water which has been converted to M&I water beginning in the year 2042 (amount unknown) | • Utah and the Tribe are working on an implementation plan/compact |

| Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement Act of 1994 | Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe | ARIZONA | • Environmental issues, groundwater restrictions, and inability to use prior CAP allocations from the Verde River required Tribe and municipality to relinquish CAP water for alternate sources;  
• Settlement mutually benefited the Tribe and city and required much cooperation;  
• Municipality required to provide Tribe water and sewage services “in perpetuity”;  
• Tribe and city both required to relinquish, assign or sell prior CAP allocations;  
• “Water Replacement Fund” established to manage all money associated with the relinquishment of Tribe’s and city’s prior CAP allocation;  
• Water Fund, or water bank, to be used by city to acquire new water sources;  
• Water Fund to be used by Tribe to defray its costs associated with water and sewage services and to develop or maintain on-reservation water facilities;  
• Tribe to develop a groundwater management plan in consultation with the State;  
• Allows marketing of effluent generated on-reservation;  
• 1,550 afa | • Federal  
- $200,000 to Water Fund for use by the Tribe to defray its costs associated with Judicial confirmation of the settlement;  
- Such sums as may be necessary to establish, maintain and operate a gauging station on Granite Creek (amount unknown)  
• State  
- $200,000 to Water Fund for use by the Tribe to defray its costs associated with the water service agreement |  |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chippewa Cree Tribe of the Rocky Boy’s Reservation Indian Reserved Water Rights Settlement Act of 1999</th>
<th>Chippewa Cree Indian Tribe</th>
<th>MONTANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Approves and ratifies the Water Rights Compact entered into on April 14, 1997, by the Tribe and the State of Montana; Directs the Secretary of the Interior to execute and implement the Compact;</td>
<td>Satisfies any entitlement to Federal Indian reserved water of any tribal member solely from the water secured to the Tribe by the Compact;</td>
<td>Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authorizes the Tribe, subject to the approval of the Secretary and the State, to transfer any portion of the Tribal water right for use off the Reservation by service contract, lease, exchange, or other agreement;</td>
<td>Directs the Secretary to plan, design, and construct specified water development projects on the Reservation; and at the request of the Tribe, to enter into an agreement with the Tribe to carry out such activity through the Tribe’s annual funding agreement entered into under the self-governance program under the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act;</td>
<td>$20 M for establishment of Shivwits Band Trust Fund - to be used for infrastructure costs of obligations imposed on the Santa Clara Project, and the St. George Reuse Project to deliver required water to the Band.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishes a trust fund to fulfill the purposes of the Act;</td>
<td>Directs the Secretary to perform a feasibility study of Tiber Reservoir water and related resources in North Central Montana to evaluate alternatives for a municipal, rural, and industrial water supply for the Reservation;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shivwits Band of the Paiute Indian Tribe of Utah Water Rights Settlement Act</th>
<th>Shivwits Band of Paiute Indians</th>
<th>UTAH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grants the Band the right in perpetuity to divert, pump, impound, use, and</td>
<td>Permits the Band to use water from the springs and runoff on the</td>
<td>Federal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse a total of 4,000 a/f from the Virgin River and Santa Clara River systems to be taken as follows: 1,900 acre-feet from the Santa Clara Project and 2,000 acre-feet from the St. George Water Reuse Project - with first priority to the reuse water provided from the St. George Project; and 100 acre-feet from groundwater on the Shivwits Reservation;</td>
<td>Reserve. Declares that the amount used from such sources will be reported annually to the Utah State Engineer by the Band and requires the amount to be counted against the annual Water Right;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permits the Band to use water from the springs and runoff on the</td>
<td>Provides that the Shivwits Water Right shall not be subject to loss by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservation. Declares that the amount used from such sources will be</td>
<td>abandonment, forfeiture, or nuisance. Authorizes the Band to use or lease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reported annually to the Utah State Engineer by the Band and requires</td>
<td>the Water Right for: (1) any purpose permitted by tribal or Federal law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the amount to be counted against the annual Water Right;</td>
<td>anywhere on the Reservation; and (2) any beneficial use off the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provides that the Shivwits Water Right shall not be subject to loss by</td>
<td>Reservation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abandonment, forfeiture, or nuisance. Authorizes the Band to use or lease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Water Right for: (1) any purpose permitted by tribal or Federal law</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anywhere on the Reservation; and (2) any beneficial use off the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- FY 1999 feasibility study appropriations = $1M, FY 2000 = $3M;  
- $21 M for the Chippewa Cree Fund;  
- $13M for on-reservation development;  
- $1M for administration costs;  
- $21M for the Chippewa Cree Fund;  
- $13M for on-reservation development;  
- $1M for administration costs;  
- Subject to the availability of funds, the State shall provide services valued at $400,000 for administration required by the Compact and for water quality sampling required by the Compact.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Colorado Ute Settlement Act Amendments of 2000</strong></th>
<th><strong>Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute Tribes, and Navajo Nation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Federal</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pub.L. No. 106-554, 114 Stat. 2763 (2000).</td>
<td><strong>Amends the Colorado Ute Indian Water Rights Settlement Act of 1988 to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to complete construction of, and utilize a reservoir and infrastructure to operate facilities to divert and store water from the Animas River to provide a municipal and industrial water supply to the San Juan Water Commission, Animas-La Plata Conservancy District, State of Colorado, La Plata Conservancy District of New Mexico, Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute tribes, and Navajo Nation:</strong></td>
<td>$8 M annually from 2002 to 2006 to establish the Southern Ute Tribal Resource Fund, and the Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Resource Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Construction costs required to deliver each tribe's water allocation shall be nonreimbursable:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Authorizes the Secretary to construct a water line to augment the existing system that conveys municipal water supplies to the Navajo Indian Reservation at or near Shiprock, New Mexico. Makes construction costs for the water line nonreimbursable:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Authorizes appropriations to the Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Resource Funds:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Establishes the Colorado Ute Settlement Fund in the Treasury and authorizes appropriations to the Fund to complete the construction of Project facilities and the Navajo Nation water line:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Requires the construction of facilities, and allocation of water supply to the Indian tribes, provision of funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Zuni Indian Tribe Water Rights Settlement Act of 2003</strong></th>
<th><strong>Zuni Indian Tribe</strong></th>
<th><strong>Federal</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pub.L. No. 108-34 (2003).</td>
<td><strong>Provides the resources to acquire water from willing sellers for the tribe in Arizona in the Little Colorado River Basin:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal government is to appropriate $19.25 M to the Zuni Indian Tribe Water Rights Development Fund:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Grandfathers existing water uses and waives claims against many future water uses:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Secretary is to allocate $3.5 M for fiscal year 2004, to be used for the acquisition of water rights and associated lands, and other activities carried out, by the Zuni Tribe to facilitate the enforceability of the Settlement Agreement, including the acquisition of at least 2,350 aly of water rights:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Provides funding necessary to enable the Zuni Tribe to acquire water rights from willing sellers in lieu of having a Federal reserved rights to surface water or groundwater:</strong></td>
<td><strong>The Zuni Heaven Reservation restoration is to be accomplished by using $5.25 M in 2004, 2005, and 2006, for a total of $15.75 M</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>The Tribe is required to make payments in lieu of all current State, county, and local ad valorem taxes that would otherwise apply if those lands were not held in trust:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal government will deposit $52 M in the Gila River Indian Community Water OM&amp;R Trust Fund;</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Funding to restore, rehabilitate, and maintain the Zuni Heaven Reservation, including the Sacred Lake, wetlands, and riparian areas:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Budgets $250 M to the Future Indian Water Settlement Subaccount of the Lower Colorado Basin Development fund, to be used for Indian water rights settlements in Arizona approved by Congress after the date of enactment of the Arizona Water Settlements Act:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Requires the Secretary of the Interior to take legal title of specified lands in the Gila and Salt River Base and Meridian into trust for the benefit of the Zuni tribe. These lands have no Federal or State title:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal government will deposit $53 M in the Gila River Indian Community Water OM&amp;R Trust Fund:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Requires the Secretary to allocate $3.5 M for fiscal year 2004, to be used for the rehabilitation of the San Carlos Irrigation Project:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal government to pay $52.3 M for the rehabilitation of the San Carlos Irrigation Project:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>The U.S. holds all Zuni owned state water rights in trust for the Tribe:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal Government to pay $66 M to the New Mexico Unit Fund</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Prohibits the United States, except in certain instances, from removing jurisdiction to Federal courts for disputes over intergovernmental agreements entered into under these trust land agreements</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Arizona Water Settlements Act of 2004</strong></th>
<th><strong>Gila River Indian Community, Tohono O'odham Nation</strong></th>
<th><strong>Federal</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Reallocates 102,000 afa of CAP water to Gila River Indian Community (consisting of the Pima Tribe and the Maricopa Tribe); reallocates 28,200 afa of water to Tohono O'odham Nation; reallocates 67,300 afa of water to &quot;Arizona Indian Tribes;&quot; includes a groundwater component whereby the Tohono O'odham Nation can pump up to 13,200 afa</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal government will deposit $53 M in the Gila River Indian Community Water OM&amp;R Trust Fund:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Includes funding necessary to enable the Gila River Indian Community Water OM&amp;R Trust Fund, and the Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Resource Fund, and the Southern Ute and Ute Mountain Ute Tribal Resource Funds to be used for the rehabilitation of the San Carlos Irrigation Project:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal government to pay $52.3 M for the rehabilitation of the San Carlos Irrigation Project:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Includes the enforcement of trust water rights for future generations:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal Government to pay $66 M to the New Mexico Unit Fund</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snake River Water Rights Act of 2004</td>
<td>Nez Perce Tribe</td>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub.L. No. 108-447; 118 Stat 2809, 3432-41 (2004)</td>
<td>Purpose of the Act is “to achieve a fair, equitable, and final settlement of all claims of the Nez Perce Tribe . . . to the water of the Snake River Basin within Idaho;”</td>
<td>Federal government is to appropriate $60.1 M to the Nez Perce Water and Fisheries Fund over the span of fiscal years 2007 to 2013;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides a consumptive use water right of 50,000 afy with a priority date of 1855;</td>
<td>Federal government is to appropriate $23 M to the Nez Perce Tribe Domestic Water Supply Fund between fiscal years 2007 and 2011;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The consumptive use water right is not subject to loss by abandonment, forfeiture, or nonuse;</td>
<td>Federal government is to appropriate $38 M to the Salmon and Clearwater River Basins Habitat Fund between fiscal years 2007 and 2011. It is worth noting that this fund is separate and distinct from the Nez Perce Water and Fisheries fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Secretary of the Interior is to transfer land to the Bureau of Indian Affairs in trust for the Tribe with a value not to exceed $7 M;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes significant appropriations and other measures for salmon and steelhead restoration efforts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians Settlement Act</th>
<th>Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians</th>
<th>California</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creates a 50 year plan in which the Tribe and the water districts agree to certain concessions to create a safe yield for the San Jacinto River Basin;</td>
<td>- $5.5M to the Soboba Band of Luiseño Indians Water Development Fund for each of FY 2010 and 2011 to pay or reimburse costs associated with constructing, operating, and maintaining water and sewage infrastructure, and other water-related projects;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gives the Tribe the “prior and paramount right, superior to all others” to pump 9,000 af/a from the Basin;</td>
<td>- $5M to the San Jacinto Basin Restoration Fund for each of FY 2010 and 2011 to reimburse the costs associated with constructing, operating, and maintaining the Federal portion of the basin recharge project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides that the Tribe will limit the exercise of its Tribal Water Right to 4,100 af/a for 50 years;</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Awards the Tribe 127.7 acres of land owned by the water districts;</td>
<td>- Water districts to provide the Tribe with $17M in funds that the Tribe will manage in its sole discretion;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires the water districts to construct, operate, and maintain a project that will recharge the Basin with 7,500 afy of imported water through 2035;</td>
<td>- $1M credit deducted from water and sewage financial participation fees charged to the Tribe by one of the water districts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires water districts and other ground water producers to implement a Water Management Plan (WMP) to “address the current Basin overdraft, and recognize and take into account the Tribal Water Right;”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Northwestern New Mexico Rural Water Projects Act (Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project/Navajo Nation Water Rights) | Navajo Nation NEW MEXICO | • Establishes the Reclamation Water Settlements Fund: $1.2B ($120M to be deposited annually from FY 2020 through 2029) for use by the Secretary of the Interior to fund Indian water rights settlements with priority for Navajo-Gallup ($500M); Aamodt & Taos (NM) ($250M); Blackfeet, Crow, Fort Belknap (MT) ($350 M); Navajo Colorado River (AZ) ($100M);  
• Authorizes the construction and operation of the Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project (37,764 afy) for municipal, industrial, commercial, and domestic uses on the Navajo Nation in northwestern New Mexico and northeastern Arizona, the City of Gallup, New Mexico, and the Jicarilla Apache Nation;  
• Authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to execute Settlement Agreement, which confirms Navajo water rights to divert/deplete 606,660/325,670 afy as follows: (1) Navajo Indian Irrigation Project - 308,000/270,000 afy; (2) Hopiback Irrigation Project - 48,350/21,280 afy; (3) Fruitland Irrigation Project - 18,180/7,970 afy; (4) Navajo-Gallup - 22,450/10,780 afy; (5) Animas-LaPlata Project - 4,680/2,340 afy; (6) Misc. municipal uses-2,600/1,300 afy; (7) Tributary groundwater-2,000/2,000 afy; and (8) additional historic and existing rights to be determined by hydrosurvey;  
• Recognizes rights of the Navajo Nation to: (1) divert supplemental carriage water; (2) develop additional ground water on Navajo lands; (3) retain water rights acquired under state law; (4) maintain additional rights to de minimus residential domestic stock uses not served by public supply systems; (5) have a contractual right to storage to supply Navajo uses under the Animas-La Plata Project; and (6) re-use tail water or waste water under certain conditions;  
• Individual Nation members that have been allotted land by the United States are not bound by the Settlement and may have additional claims;  
• Secretary of the Interior has not signed the Settlement Agreement executed by the Navajo Nation and the State of New Mexico in 2005 |
| Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of Duck Valley Water Rights Settlement Act | Shoshone Tribe Paiute Tribe NEVADA | • Finalizes settlement between the Shoshone-Paiute Tribes of the Duck Valley Reservation, Nevada, and upstream water users;  
• Provides the Tribes with a water right that includes a Federal reserved right to (1) 111,476 afy of surface water from the East Fork Owyhee River Basin; and (2) the entire flow of all springs and creeks originating within the Reservation;  
• Recognizes and protects the Tribes’ claim to 2,606 acre-feet of ground water per year “as part of its water right;”  
• Entitles Tribes to all water in the Wild Horse Reservoir subject to certain exceptions, and provides that the Tribes shall operate the Reservoir in accordance with a plan of operations develop and agreed upon with the United States;  
• Creates conditions under which upstream users can: (1) divert sufficient surface water to irrigate 5,039 acres; and (2) require the Tribes to release up to 265 afy from the Wild Horse Reservoir;  
• Tribes may use and store all surface water not used by upstream users; Surface water right that upstream users abandon or forfeit shall become part of the Tribes’ water right;  
• Tribes shall enact a water code to administer tribal water rights;  
• Department of Interior has not signed the Settlement |
| | Federal | • Federal  
- $6M to the Navajo Nation Water Resources Development Trust Fund for each of fiscal years 2010-2014;  
- $48M to the Navajo Nation Water Resources Development Trust Fund for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2019;  
- $870M for the Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project for the period of fiscal years 2009 through 2024;  
- $30M for conjunctive use ground water wells for the period of fiscal years 2009 through 2019;  
- Not more than $7.7M for the rehabilitation of the Fruitland Indian Irrigation Project for fiscal years 2009 through 2016;  
- Not more than $15.4M for the rehabilitation of the Hopback-Cudee Irrigation Project for fiscal years 2009 through 2019;  
- $11M for non-Indian irrigation projects for the period of fiscal years 2009 through 2019  
• State  
- Contribute a share of the construction costs of the Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project of not less than $50M, except that the state shall receive credit for funds contributed to construct water conveyance facilities;  
- 30% cost share of rehabilitation of non-Indian ditches  
• Local  
- City of Gallup and Jicarilla Apache Nation to reimburse United States up to 35% of allocated share of capital costs for Navajo-Gallup Water Supply Project |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crow Tribe Water Rights Settlement Act of 2010</th>
<th>Crow Tribe MONTANA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Establishes a base for the Tribe to build energy development projects;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creates a Crow Tribal Water Right with the following components:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Bighorn River: 650,000 afy consisting of: (1) 500,000 afy of natural flow from the river including ground water for existing and future Tribal uses; and (2) 150,000 afy of storage from Bighorn Lake for new Tribal development, of which only 50,000 afy can be used off-Reservation. Another 150,000 afy is allocated to supplement the natural flow right but is not available for other uses;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Drainages other than the Bighorn River: Provides that the Tribe may use all available surface water, ground water, and storage water on the Reservation not needed to satisfy current water uses;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ceded Strip: 47,000 afy from any water source on lands or interests on the ceded strip which Congress restored to the Tribe, or on any lands acquired and held in trust for the Tribe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other: Water rights the Tribe acquires as appurtenances to land become part of the Tribal Water Right</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closes certain basins and sub-basins to new water appropriations under State law; generally allows small domestic and stock uses, as well as changes and water rights transfers to continue;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tribe will administer Tribal Water Right and State will administer water rights recognized under state law;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tribe and Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation will review all Tribal development to determine if it will impact current water users;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Any unresolved disputes will be referred to the Crow-Montana Compact Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ Federal:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $461M overall;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $131.8M for Crow Irrigation Project;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $246.4M for MR&amp;I System;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $4.8M for Tribal Compact Administration;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $20M for Energy Development Projects;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $47M for MR&amp;I System OM&amp;R;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $20M for Crow Irrigation Project OM&amp;R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ State:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- $15M for use and benefit of the Tribe;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- The state will also pass through all state production taxes on Crow coal development</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### White Mountain Apache Tribe Water Rights Quantification Act of 2010


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tribe</th>
<th>ARIZONA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirms 2009 White Mountain Apache Tribe (WMAT) Water Rights Quantification Agreement:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirms Tribe’s 1871 priority right to divert 74,000 afa from Salt River:</strong></td>
<td>- $126.2 M mandatory appropriation for dam, treatment plant, pumping stations, 60 mile pipeline for reservation wide drinking water system;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirms Tribe’s right to additionally divert at least 25,000 afa from Salt River through exchange of CAP water for total of 99,000+ afa:</strong></td>
<td>- $24 M mandatory appropriation for Cost Overrun Fund for drinking water system;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authorizes leasing of up to 25,000 afa CAP Water annually for 100 years:</strong></td>
<td>- $50 M mandatory appropriation for WMAT Operation, Maintenance and Repair Trust Fund for the drinking water system;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requires Secretary to construct reservation wide drinking water project:</strong></td>
<td>- $2.5 M mandatory appropriation to operate and maintain drinking water system until title to system is transferred by Secretary to WMAT;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirms Tribe’s right to build two reservoirs totaling 18,000 acre-feet storage:</strong></td>
<td>- $113.5M authorized for WMAT Settlement Fund, includes $35M ($24M Mandatory Appropriation and $11M authorized) for Cost Overrun Fund;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Restores Secretarial Power Site Reserves to Tribe:</strong></td>
<td>- Unknown cost for United States to annually firm 3,750 afa of WMAT CAP Water to M&amp;I priority water for 100 years;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Establishes 12 mile groundwater protection buffer zone along Tribe’s northern boundary with National Forest:</strong></td>
<td>- Funding is indexed in accordance with engineering indices for construction costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirms Tribe’s administrative authority over water use within Reservation:</strong></td>
<td><strong>State/Local:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requires transfer of title to drinking water system to Tribe after three years of operation:</strong></td>
<td>- $2M from State for reservation drinking water system;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requires United States and State of Arizona to annually firm for Tribe 7,500 acre-feet of WMAT CAP water (3,750 afa each) to M&amp;I priority water for 100 years:</strong></td>
<td>- $20.7M to annually firm 3,750 afa of WMAT CAP Water to M&amp;I priority water for 100 years;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allocates 25,000 afa CAP Water to Tribe in perpetuity:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amended in 2018 to clarify that WMAT funds may be used for the planning, design, and construction of the tribe's rural water system:</strong></td>
<td>- $116.9M total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Aamodt Litigation Settlement Act


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pueblos</th>
<th>NEW MEXICO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nambé, Pojoaque, San Ildefonso, and Tesuque</td>
<td><strong>Federal:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>One of the longest running Federal cases in the U.S.</strong></td>
<td>- $174.3M total;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pueblos will not make priority calls against non-Pueblo groundwater users so long as non-Pueblo users agree to eventually obtain water from a non-Pueblo water utility system when available:</strong></td>
<td>- $106.4M construction of the Regional Water System and environmental compliance activities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>If non-Pueblo groundwater use exceeds specified levels, they must reduce use to stay free from priority administration:</strong></td>
<td>- 37.5M to help pay Pueblos’ share of the cost to operating, maintaining, and replacing Pueblo Water Facilities and the Regional Water System $15Mfor Aamodt Settlement Fund;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Provides protection for existing non-Pueblo surface users against future water development by the Pueblos:</strong></td>
<td>- $5.4M for acquisition of water rights for the benefit of the Pueblos;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Codifies water-sharing arrangements between Indian and neighboring communities:</strong></td>
<td>- $5M to pay for the acquisition of Nambe’s reserved right for the use of all four Pueblos;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>To alleviate pressure on the underlying aquifer, the settlement requires the design and construction of a Regional Water System which will import water from and San Juan Chama Project water from the Rio Grande for use by both Pueblo and non-Pueblo parties:</strong></td>
<td>- $5M to pay for the pre-completion operation, maintenance and replacement costs associated with Pueblo Water Facilities of the Regional Water System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total allotment of 6,096 afa to the Pueblos (this includes water for existing and future basin use, as well as supplemental, acquired, and reserved Water) from a combination of the Pojoaque Basin and Regional Water System</strong></td>
<td><strong>State/Local:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- $5M to pay for the pre-completion operation, maintenance and replacement costs associated with Pueblo Water Facilities of the Regional Water System
<p>| Taos Pueblo Indian Water Rights Settlement Act | Taos Pueblo NEW MEXICO | Funds to be used to: (1) acquire additional water rights; (2) plan, develop, and improve water production, farmlands, and water infrastructure; (3) restore and preserve the Buffalo Pasture, a natural wetland which has cultural and religious significance to the Pueblo; | Federal: - $124M total, consisting of: (1) $88M to construct and maintain water infrastructure; and (2) $36M towards non-Pueblo projects benefited by the agreement, with Federal government providing 75% cost-sharing |
| --- | --- | Authorize the Pueblo to market 2,215 acre-feet from the San Juan-Chama Project water rights upon the Secretary of Interior’s approval; | State/Local: - $20M contributed overall, including: (1) $12M for planning, design and construction; and (2) $8M for long term costs related to non-Pueblo projects benefited by the agreement |
| | | Authorizes right to divert and consume surface waters from the Taos Valley Stream System to irrigate 5,712.78 acres with an aboriginal priority date; | | |
| | | Pueblo agrees to limit irrigation to the 2,322 acres currently irrigated, and to extend irrigation only after acquiring and retiring offsetting water right; | | |
| | | Gives Pueblo a right to divert and consume 1,600 acre-feet of groundwater for municipal, domestic and industrial uses | | |
| Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe-Fish Springs Ranch Settlement Act | Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe of the Pyramid Lake Reservation NEVADA | Local water importation project involved construction of water pipeline across BLM right-of-way to transfer groundwater pumped at the Fish Springs Ranch and delivered to northern Reno valleys, as well as related well construction and wastewater treatment; | Federal: - No federal dollars |
| Pub. L. 113-169, 128 Stat. 1887 (2014) | | Groundwater pumping and inter-basin transfer raised concerns of impairment of the Tribe’s water rights in Honey Lake Valley Basin, Smoke Creek Desert Basin, Pyramid Lake, and the Pyramid Lake Valley Basin; | Local (Fish Springs Ranch to the Tribe): - $500,000 at signing - Deed transfer of 6,214 acres (Aquatrac) in Nevada to Tribe worth $500,000 - $3.1M in Jan 2008 - $3.6M at Congressional approval - $12% of gross sales of water rights to the additional 5,000 aFY |
| | | 2007 settlement and 2013 supplement resolved Tribe’s objections to and lawsuit against the local water importation project and related permitting; | | |
| | | Fish Springs Ranch able to pump and transfer 8,000 aFY, with up to an additional 5,000 aFY (total pumping-transfer allowed 13,000 aFY); | | |
| | | Fish Springs Ranch payments $7.2M plus Aquatrac Land (6,214.32 acres) and 12% gross sales of any water rights from the additional 5,000 aFY; | | |
| | | Tribe waived its claims to existing and future water rights (including lowered groundwater table, Pyramid Lake level, and Truckee River flow) relative to Fish Springs Ranch | | |
| | | Tribe and Interior retained right to pursue any federal reserved water rights in Honey Lake Valley Basin, Smoke Creek Desert Basin, and the Pyramid Lake Valley Basin not in conflict with the Agreement | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Bill Williams River Water Rights Settlement Act</strong></th>
<th><strong>Hualapai Tribe</strong></th>
<th><strong>ARIZONA</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resolved objections to Freeport severance and transfer of water rights from ranches to Big Sandy River wells located upstream for use in copper mine operations;</td>
<td>- Local (Freeport): - $1M for water project alternatives study for Tribe’s main reservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Waiver of Tribal/Federal claims for Freeport diversions;</td>
<td>- Unspecified contribution to Tribe’s Economic Development Fund for water rights acquisition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caps Freeport groundwater diversions to historic 10,055 afy;</td>
<td>- Transfer of land and water for wildlife conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acknowledges Tribe’s reserved water right to 694 afy on small (60-acre) Executive Order reservation parcels and other trust land for allotees (560 acres) in the Bill Williams River Basin;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long-term lease and transfer of Freeport farmland (3,413 acres) and water (5,549.2 afy) for migratory wildlife habitat and conservation;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not resolve water rights claims for Tribe’s main reservation;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Limited waiver of sovereign immunity for interpretation and enforcement of settlement agreements and Act;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freeport responsible for implementing protections for Tribe’s water uses on culturally significant fee owned lands;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freeport financial contribution to Tribe’s Economic Development Fund for the acquisition of Colorado River water rights, plus $1M toward study of water project alternatives for Tribe’s main reservation.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act</strong></td>
<td><strong>Blackfeet Nation</strong></td>
<td><strong>MONTANA</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Confirms priority for Tribal water rights as 1855.</td>
<td>- $3.8M for studies on management and development of water supplies in the St. Mary and Milk River Basins, including dams and reservoirs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quantifies irrigation, in-stream flow, and groundwater rights, with additional flow that may be diverted once state water rights are satisfied. Includes the following drainage basins: Birch Creek, Badger Creek, Two Medicine River, Cut Bank Creek, Milk River, St. Mary River, Lee Creek and Willow Creek. For some rivers, the quantification is all of the natural flow that exists absent human intervention. Also provides an allocation of stored water in Lake Elwell (Tiber Dam), water appurtenant to tribally acquired state lands, and all naturally-occurring lakes, ponds, wetlands within the Reservation on trust lands and fee lands owned by the Tribe, its members, or allottees.</td>
<td>- $20.7M for the Swiftcurrent Creek bank stabilization project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protects certain tribal or state rights in various basins from priority calls from senior water users.</td>
<td>- $3.1M for determinations of federal easements and rights-of-way necessary for the Milk River Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closes certain basins and rivers to new applications for state appropriations, but allows for change in use and transfers under state law.</td>
<td>- $500,000 for technical analysis, legal, and other related efforts to reach an agreement on the exercise of respective water rights between the Blackfeet Nation and the Fort Belknap Indian Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides for the administration of tribal water rights, including off-reservation leases that are limited to the Missouri River Basin; leases that permanently alienate water rights are prohibited.</td>
<td>- $40.9M for deferred maintenance and Four Horns Dam safety improvements, and $14M for rehabilitation and construction of water delivery infrastructure, part of the Blackfeet Irrigation Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires the tribe and state to report on existing water rights and all permitted and exempted water uses, with annual updates for new developments or changes in use of water rights, or changed owners.</td>
<td>- $76.2M for design and construction of an MR&amp;R System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Establishes the three-member Blackfeet-Montana Compact Board to resolve Compact controversies and appoint Water Commissioners as appropriate for day-to-day administration, including opening headgates.</td>
<td>- $87.3M for design and construction of water storage and irrigation facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protects irrigation, in-stream flow, and groundwater rights, with additional flow that may be diverted once state water rights are satisfied. Includes the following drainage basins: Birch Creek, Badger Creek, Two Medicine River, Cut Bank Creek, Milk River, St. Mary River, Lee Creek and Willow Creek. For some rivers, the quantification is all of the natural flow that exists absent human intervention. Also provides an allocation of stored water in Lake Elwell (Tiber Dam), water appurtenant to tribally acquired state lands, and all naturally-occurring lakes, ponds, wetlands within the Reservation on trust lands and fee lands owned by the Tribe, its members, or allottees.</td>
<td>- In the Blackfeet Settlement Trust Fund, $28.9M in the Administration and Energy Account, $27.8M in the OM&amp;R Account, $27.3M for the St. Mary Account, and $91M for the Blackfeet Water, Storage, and Development Projects Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protects certain tribal or state rights in various basins from priority calls from senior water users.</td>
<td>• State: - Total state contribution $49M, including $20M for rehabilitation and construction of water delivery infrastructure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Closes certain basins and rivers to new applications for state appropriations, but allows for change in use and transfers under state law.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides for the administration of tribal water rights, including off-reservation leases that are limited to the Missouri River Basin; leases that permanently alienate water rights are prohibited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires the tribe and state to report on existing water rights and all permitted and exempted water uses, with annual updates for new developments or changes in use of water rights, or changed owners.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Establishes the three-member Blackfeet-Montana Compact Board to resolve Compact controversies and appoint Water Commissioners as appropriate for day-to-day administration, including opening headgates.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Act confirms the water rights settlement between the Choc`taw and Chickasaw Nations, Oklahoma, and Oklahoma City.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The settlement resolves long-standing questions and multiple court actions over water rights ownership and regulatory authority over the Choc`taw and Chickasaw Nations’ historic treaty territories, particularly water use conflicts over Sardis Lake and the Kiamichi Basin. It also preserves and confirms existing water rights uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Allottees are authorized to divert 6 a/ y of surface water per 160 acres and 5 a/ y of groundwater, without state permits and for domestic use only.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Each Nation has the right to appropriate 500 a/ y per hydrologic basin on Trust Lands for future development. The Choc`taw Nation may develop an impoundment up to 150 acres that can impound up to 1,500 a are-foot, with the right to offset evaporative losses up to 500 a are-foot.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Act authorizes Interior to approve conveyance of an easement from the Chickasaw Nation to Oklahoma City; the City will pay the Nation for the value of past unauthorized use and consideration for future use of the land burdened by the easement, to construct and maintain water conveyance infrastructure for municipal use.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Oklahoma remains the exclusive regulator and administrator of water resources, and the Nations and Allottees may apply for additional water rights through the Oklahoma Water Resources Board. The settlement provides a framework to foster intergovernmental cooperation, ensuring that the Nations have a voice with regard to transfers of water within their historic treaty territories.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Lake release restrictions allow measured municipal supply while managing Lake levels to support critical recreation, fish and wildlife uses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Act confirms the water rights settlement between the Pechanga Band, the Rancho California Water District (RCWD), and the United States, and resolves certain claims in United States v. Fallbrook Public Utility District et al. (0:91-cv-1427, S.D.C.A.).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The agreement quantifies the Pechanga water right from the 1966 Fallbrook Decree to be 4,994 a are-foot per year. This amount includes allocations of water to tribal allottees.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The agreement includes joint management of groundwater pumping in the Wolf Valley Basin, with RCWD entitled to 25% of safe yield, and Pechanga entitled to 75%. The safe yield is initially determined to be 2,100 a are-foot from an 8,000 a are-foot capacity. They are authorized to cooperatively develop groundwater desalination activities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The Act also authorizes efforts to enhance the capacity for water delivery from the Metropolitan and Eastern Municipal Water Districts, expanding their service areas to include parts of the Pechanga Reservation and constructing water delivery infrastructure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:**
-afa: acre-feet per annum
-afy: acre-feet per year
-CAP: Central Arizona Project
-M&I: Municipal and Industrial
-OM&R: Ongoing Maintenance and Repair

**Federal**
- Annual payments waived for Sardis Lake future use storage operation, maintenance and replacement costs, capital costs, or interest, unless and until the future use storage is activated.
- State, City, Nations
  - Total contributions to the Atoka and Sardis Conservation Projects Fund $10M
  - Oklahoma City $5M contribution, of which $2.5M would have been monies otherwise due to the State under the Storage Contract Transfer Agreement
  - Nations $5M contribution, with the Choc`taw Nation paying 75% and the Chickasaw Nation paying 25%
- Total federal contribution $28.5M
- $2.7M for Pechanga’s share of design and construction costs of a storage pond that enables the delivery of recycled water
- $17.9M for the ESAA Delivery Capacity Account for design and construction costs of infrastructure required for water delivery
- $5.5M for the Pechanga Water Fund Account to pay for connection fees and delivery of water from the Metropolitan and Eastern Municipal Water Districts
- $2.5M for Wolf Valley Basin groundwater desalination activities
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