

02-6111(L)

02-6130(CON), 02-6140(CON), 02-6200(CON), 02-6211(CON), 02-6219(CON), 02-6301(CON), 02-6131(XAP), 02-6151(XAP), 02-6309(XAP)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

CAYUGA INDIAN NATION OF NEW YORK,
Plaintiff-Appellee-Cross-Appellant,

SENECA CAYUGA TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA,
Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellee-Cross-Appellant,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Appellee-Cross-Appellee

v.

GEORGE PATAKI, GOVERNOR, ET. AL.
Defendants-Appellants-Cross-Appellees.

BRIEF OF AMICUS UNITED SOUTH AND EASTERN
TRIBES IN SUPPORT OF CAYUGA INDIAN NATION'S
PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC

William W. Taylor, III
Michael R. Smith
David A. Reiser
ZUCKERMAN SPAEDER LLP
1800 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 778-1800
(202) 822-8106 (telecopier)

*Attorneys for Amicus United South
& Eastern Tribes*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
LEGAL ARGUMENT	1
1. The panel decision is based on the passage of time and imposes an ad hoc limitations bar on tribal land claims.....	2
2. Congress rejected the policy implemented by the panel majority and chose instead to enact a statute of limitations preserving tribal land claims arising from old land transactions	3
3. The federal courts cannot adopt federal common law rules in derogation of the will of Congress.....	5
4. The record provides no basis for finding laches.....	6
CONCLUSION.....	8

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<i>Chevron Oil Co. v. Huson</i> , 404 U.S. 97 (1971).....	5
<i>County of Oneida v. Oneida Indian Nation</i> , 470 U.S. 226 (1985).....	2, 3, 4
<i>Ivani Contracting Corp. v. City of New York</i> , 103 F.3d 257 (2d Cir. 1997).....	1, 5
<i>Johnson v. LIRR</i> , 162 N.Y. 462 (1900)	7
<i>Kansas v. Colorado</i> , 514 U.S. 673 (1995).....	3
<i>Milwaukee v. Illinois</i> , 451 U.S. 304 (1981).....	5
<i>Moe v. Confederated Salish & Kootenai Tribes</i> , 425 U.S. 463 (1976).....	3
<i>Oneida Indian Nation v. County of Oneida</i> , 414 U.S. 661 (1974).....	4
<i>Oneida Indian Nation v. County of Oneida</i> , 464 F.2d 916 (2d Cir. 1972).....	7
<i>Oneida Indian Nation v. County of Oneida</i> , 434 F. Supp. 527 (N.D.N.Y. 1977).....	4
<i>Oneida Indian Nation v. County of Oneida</i> , 719 F.2d 525 (2d Cir. 1983)	1
<i>Oneida Indian Nation v. State of New York</i> , 691 F.2d 1070 (2d Cir. 1982).....	1

<i>Senator Linie GmbH & Co. Kg v. Sunway Line, Inc.</i> , 291 F.3d 145 (2d Cir. 2002)	5
<i>Seneca Nation v. Appleby</i> , 196 N.Y. 318 (1909).....	6
<i>Thom v. Ashcroft</i> , 369 F.3d 158 (2d Cir. 2004).....	3
<i>United States v. Boylan</i> , 265 F. 165 (2d Cir. 1920).....	7

STATUTES

25 U.S.C. §§ 1701-1715	4
25 U.S.C. §§ 1721-35	4
25 U.S.C. § 175.....	7
25 U.S.C. § 233.....	7
48 Fed. Reg. 13698 (March 31, 1983).....	5
28 U.S.C. § 2415.....	passim
123 Cong. Rec. 22500 (July 12, 1977)	4
28 U.S.C. § 1362.....	3, 7
H.R. Rep. 95-375, <i>reprinted in 1977 U.S.C.C.A.N.</i> 1616, 1621	3, 4
Pub. L. 89-505, 80 Stat. 304, § 1, <i>codified at 28</i> U.S.C. § 2415.....	3

OTHER

BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (8 th ed. 1999).....	2
Hearings before the Senate Select Comm. on Indian Affairs, 95 th Cong. (May 3 & 16, 1977).....	4
Hearings before the Subcomm. on Indian Affairs, Senate Comm. on Interior & Insular Affairs, 92d Cong. (Sept. 12, 1972).....	3
27A AM. JUR. 2D <i>Equity</i> § 140 (1996).....	3

