

02-6111(L)

02-6130(Con), 02-6140(Con), 02-6200(Con),
02-6211(Con), 02-6219(Con), 02-6301(Con),
02-6131(Xap), 02-6151(Xap), 02-6309(Xap)

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

CAYUGA INDIAN NATION OF NEW YORK,
Plaintiff-Appellee-Cross-Appellant,

SENECA-CAYUGA TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA, and
Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellee-Cross-Appellant,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellee,

vs.

GEORGE PATAKI, as Governor of the State of New York, et al.
CAYUGA COUNTY and SENECA COUNTY,
MILLER BREWING COMPANY, et al.,
Defendants-Appellants-Cross-Appellees.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

**BRIEF OF *AMICUS* SIX NATIONS HAUDENOSAUNEE
CONFEDERACY IN SUPPORT OF CAYUGA NATION'S
PETITION FOR REHEARING AND REHEARING *EN BANC***

Robert T. Coulter
INDIAN LAW RESOURCE CENTER
602 North Ewing Street
Helena, MT 59601
Tel: 406/449-2006
Fax: 406/449-3833
E-mail: rtcoulter@indianlaw.org

Curtis G. Berkey
ALEXANDER, BERKEY, WILLIAMS &
WEATHERS LLP
2000 Center Street, Suite 308
Berkeley, CA 94704
Tel: 510/548-7070
Fax: 510/548-7080
E-mail: cgberkey@abwwlaw.com

*Attorneys for Amicus Six Nations
Haudenosaunee Confederacy*

Additional Counsel Listed on Next Page

Joseph J. Heath
716 E. Washington St., Suite 104
Syracuse, NY 13210
Tel: 315/475-2559
Fax: 315/475-2465
E-mail: jheath@atsny.com

Alexandra Page
INDIAN LAW RESOURCE CENTER
601 E Street, S.E.
Washington, D.C. 20003
Tel: 202/547-2800
Fax: 202/547-2803
E-mail: apage@indianlaw.org

Of Counsel:

Professor David Kairys
1719 N. Broad Street
Philadelphia, PA 19122

Professor Joseph William Singer
1525 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02138

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
ARGUMENT	1
1. Laches bars a specific, usually equitable remedy, not an entire legal claim	2
2. Laches is fact-specific and depends on a balancing of equities, and the defendant asserting laches has the burden of proof. Laches is not appropriately adjudicated by an appellate court as a matter of law unless based on a full evidentiary hearing and facts found by a trial court	3
3. Under the longstanding Second Circuit standard of review, a district court’s findings of fact on laches should be overturned on appeal only if they amount to an “abuse of discretion”	4
4. Laches may not be invoked by a party with unclean hands	4
5. Laches cannot be applied where legal or practical obstacles prevented a party from bringing suit	4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

	<u>Page</u>
<i>Cherokee Nation v. Georgia</i> , 30 U.S. (5 Pet.) 1 (1831)	5
<i>City of Sherrill v. Oneida Indian Nation of New York</i> , 125 S.Ct. 1478 (2005)	3
<i>Conopco, Inc. v. Campbell Soup Co.</i> , 95 F.3d 187 (2 nd Cir. 1996)	3
<i>County of Oneida v. Oneida Indian Nation</i> , 414 U.S. 661 (1974)	7
<i>County of Oneida v. Oneida Indian Nation</i> , 470 U.S. 226 (1985)	5, 7
<i>Deere v. St. Lawrence River Power Company</i> , 32 F.2d 550 (2 nd Cir. 1929)	6
<i>Elk v. Wilkins</i> , 112 U.S. 94 (1884)	5
<i>Ewert v. Bluejacket</i> , 259 U.S. 129 (1922)	4
<i>Felix v. Patrick</i> , 145 U.S. 317 (1892)	3
<i>Galliher v. Cadwell</i> , 145 U.S. 368 (1892)	4
<i>Ikelionwu v. United States</i> , 150 F.3d 233 (2 nd Cir. 1998)	3
<i>Ivani Contracting Corp. v. City of New York</i> , 103 F.3d 257 (2 nd Cir. 1997)	2

