

02-6111(L)

02-6130(CON), 02-6140(CON), 02-6200(CON), 02-6211(CON), 02-6219(CON),
02-6301(XAP), 02-6131(XAP), 02-6151(XAP), 02-6309(XAP)

IN THE
United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

CAYUGA INDIAN NATION OF NEW YORK,

Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,

SENECA-CAYUGA TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA,

Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellee,

v.

GEORGE E. PATAKI, as Governor of the State of New York, CAYUGA COUNTY
and SENECA COUNTY, and MILLER BREWING CO.,

Defendants-Appellants/Cross-Appellees.

*On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of New York*

**PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING OR REHEARING EN BANC
BY APPELLEES/CROSS-APPELLANTS
CAYUGA INDIAN NATION OF NEW YORK and
SENECA-CAYUGA TRIBE OF OKLAHOMA**

Martin R. Gold
Raymond J. Heslin
Robert P. Mulvey
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL, LLP
*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appel-
lant Cayuga Indian Nation of New York*
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
212-768-6700

GLENN M. FELDMAN
BRIAN M. MUELLER
MARISCAL, WEEKS, MCINTYRE
& FRIEDLANDER, P.A.
*Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor-Appellee/
Cross-Appellant Seneca-Cayuga
Tribe of Oklahoma*
2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 200
Phoenix, Arizona 85012
602-285-5000

Table of Contents

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
STATEMENT PURSUANT TO FRAP RULE 35(B)(1).....	1
STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	3
THE CAYUGA MAJORITY MISAPPLIED THE SUPREME COURT'S HOLDING IN SHERRILL	4
THE MAJORITY OPINION IGNORED FEDERAL STATUTES AFFECTING INDIAN LAND CLAIMS	10
A. The Non-Intercourse Act.....	10
B. 28 U.S.C. §2415	11
THE MAJORITY ERRONEOUSLY APPLIED LACHES TO THE UNITED STATES	13
CONCLUSION	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

<u>Agostini v. Felton</u> , 521 U.S. 203 (1997).....	5
<u>Alaska Dep't of Environmental Conservation v. Environmental Protection Agency</u> , 540 U.S. 461,(2004).....	14
<u>Board of County Commissioners v. United States</u> , 308 U.S. 343 (1939).....	14
<u>Cayuga Indian Nation of New York v. Pataki</u> , 413 F.3d 266 (2d Cir. 2005)	1, 4-5, 6-7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15
<u>Cayuga Indian Nation of New York v. Cuomo</u> , 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 10579(N.D.N.Y. July 1, 1999)	8
<u>City of Sherrill v. Oneida Indian Nation</u> , ___ U.S. ___, 125 S. Ct. 1478 (2005)	1, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13
<u>County of Oneida v. Oneida Indian Nation</u> , 470 U.S. 226 (1985)	1, 2, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13
<u>Cross v. Allen</u> , 141 U.S. 528 (1891).....	13
<u>Felix v. Patrick</u> , 145 U.S. 317 (1892)	10
<u>Heckman v. United States</u> , 224 U.S. 413 (1912)	2, 15
<u>Ivani Construction Corp. v. City of New York</u> , 103 F.3d 257 (2d Cir.) <u>cert. denied</u> , 520 U.S. 1211(1997).....	2, 13
<u>Navajo Tribe of Indians v. New Mexico</u> , 809 F.2d 1455 (10th Cir. 1987).....	6
<u>Oneida Indian Nation of New York v. County of Oneida</u> , 719 F.2d 525 (2d Cir. 1983)	1-2, 3, 5, 9, 13

